

APPENDIX H

**KENOSHA COUNTY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**

TABLES, FIGURES, AND MAPS SPECIFIC TO THE TOWN OF PARIS

Table H-1

**HISTORICAL POPULATION LEVELS
 IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 1850-2008**

Year ^a	Population	Change From Preceding Census	
		Number	Percent
1850	956	--	--
1860	1,374	418	43.7
1870	1,015	-359	-26.1
1880	1,002	-13	-1.3
1890	871	-131	-13.1
1900	818	-53	-6.1
1910	869	51	6.2
1920	898	29	3.3
1930	842	-56	-6.2
1940	1,006	164	19.5
1950	1,073	67	6.7
1960	1,423	650	32.6
1970	1,744	321	22.6
1980	1,612	-132	-7.6
1990	1,482	-130	-8.1
2000	1,473	-9	-0.6
2005	1,523	50	3.4
2008	1,536	13	0.8

^aThe 2005 and 2008 population estimates were prepared by the Wisconsin Department of Administration. Other years are from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Wisconsin Department of Administration, and SEWRPC.

Table H-2

**EMPLOYED PERSONS 16 YEARS AND OLDER
 BY OCCUPATION IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 2000**

Occupation	Number	Percent of Total
Management, Professional, and Related Occupations		
Farmers and Farm Managers.....	34	4.7
Other Management, Business, and Financial Operations	99	13.7
Professional and Related	70	9.7
Subtotal	203	28.2
Service Occupations		
Healthcare Support	19	2.6
Protective Service	3	0.4
Food Preparation and Serving Related	30	4.2
Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance	24	3.3
Personal Care and Service	6	0.8
Subtotal	82	11.4
Sales and Office Occupations		
Sales and Related	62	8.6
Office and Administrative Support	132	18.3
Subtotal	194	26.9
Farming,^a Fishing, and Forestry Occupations	16	2.2
Construction, Extraction, and Maintenance Occupations		
Construction and Extraction	52	7.2
Installation, Maintenance, and Repair	63	8.7
Subtotal	115	16.0
Production, Transportation, and Material Moving Occupations		
Production	76	10.5
Transportation and Material Moving	35	4.9
Subtotal	111	15.4
Total	721	100.0

^aIncludes farm labor contractors, agricultural inspectors, animal breeders, graders and sorters, agricultural equipment operators, and farmworkers and laborers (including crop, nursery, greenhouse, and farm/ranch workers). Farmers who farm their own land and farm managers are included under the "management, professional, and related" occupations.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table H-3

PLACE OF WORK OF TOWN OF PARIS RESIDENTS: 2000

Place of Work	Number	Percent
Kenosha County		
City of Kenosha	143	19.9
Village of Pleasant Prairie.....	23	3.2
Town of Bristol.....	33	4.6
Town of Paris.....	110	15.3
Town of Somers.....	17	2.4
Remainder of Kenosha County.....	29	4.0
Subtotal	355	49.4
Milwaukee County	27	3.8
Racine County	149	20.8
Walworth County	10	1.4
Cook County, IL	15	2.1
Lake County, IL	144	20.1
Worked Elsewhere	18	2.5
Total	718	100.0

NOTE: The place of work Census Data estimates the number of people 16 years of age and older who were both employed and at work during the reference week (generally the week prior to April 1, 2000). People who did not work during this week due to temporary absences and other reasons are not included in the place of work data. Therefore, the place of work data may understate the total employment in a geographic area.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table H-4

**PUBLIC AND PRIVATE PARK, RECREATION, AND
 OPEN SPACE SITES IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 2006^a**

Number on Map III-23	Public Sites	Size^b (acres)
220	Town of Paris Site Paris Town Hall	20
221	School District Site Paris Elementary School	6
	Private Sites	
222	Somer's Rod and Gun Club	18
223	St. John's Catholic School	3
224	Great Lakes Dragway	153
--	Subtotal – Three Sites	174
--	Total – Five Sites	200

^aSee Tables III-20, III-21, and III-22 for park and open space sites owned by the County, State, or private resource preservation organizations.

^bSite area is rounded to the nearest whole number. Sites less than one acre are rounded up to one acre.

Source: SEWRPC Park and Open Space Site Inventory.

Table H-5

LAND USE TRENDS IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 1980-2000

Land Use Category	Area (acres)			Change in Area					
	1980	1990	2000 ^a	1980-1990		1990-2000		1980-2000	
				Acres	Percent Change	Acres	Percent Change	Acres	Percent Change
Urban									
Residential									
Single-Family	602	592	696	-10	-1.7	104	17.6	94	15.6
Two-Family	0	0	0	0	--	0	--	0	--
Multi-Family	0	0	0	0	--	0	--	0	--
Mobile Homes	0	0	0	0	--	0	--	0	--
Subtotal	602	592	696	-10	-1.7	104	17.6	94	15.6
Commercial	21	21	24	0	0.0	3	14.3	3	14.3
Industrial	13	20	22	7	53.8	2	10.0	9	69.2
Transportation, Communications, and Utilities									
Arterial Street Rights-of-Way	188	188	251	0	0.0	63	33.5	63	33.5
Nonarterial Street Rights-of-Way	271	271	309	0	0.0	38	14.0	38	14.0
Railroad Rights-of-Way	0	0	0	0	--	0	--	0	--
Communications, Utilities, and Other Transportation	14	21	29	7	50.0	8	38.1	15	107.1
Subtotal	473	480	589	7	1.5	109	22.7	116	24.5
Governmental and Institutional	24	27	27	3	12.5	0	0.0	3	12.5
Recreational	59	59	80	0	0.0	21	35.6	21	35.6
Urban Subtotal	1,192	1,199	1,438	7	0.6	239	19.9	246	20.6
Nonurban									
Natural Resource Areas									
Woodlands	957	1,033	997	76	7.9	-36	-3.5	40	4.2
Wetlands	755	652	808	-103	-13.6	156	23.9	53	7.0
Surface Water	48	50	49	2	4.2	-1	-2.0	1	2.1
Subtotal	1,760	1,735	1,854	-25	-1.4	119	6.9	94	5.3
Agricultural	19,780	19,470	19,023	-310	-1.6	-447	-2.3	-757	-3.8
Extractive	0	13	23	13	--	10	76.9	23	--
Landfills	21	278	349	257	1,223.8	71	25.5	328	1,561.9
Open Lands	247	303	326	56	22.7	23	7.6	79	32.0
Nonurban Subtotal	21,808	21,799	21,575	-9	0.0	-224	-1.0	-233	-1.1
Total	23,000	22,998	23,013	-2	0.0	15	0.1	13	0.1

^aAs part of the regional land use inventory for the year 2000, the delineation of existing land use was referenced to real property boundary information not available for prior inventories. This change increases the precision of the land use inventory and makes it more usable to public agencies and private interests throughout the Region. As a result of the change, however, year 2000 land use inventory data are not strictly comparable with data from the prior inventories. At the county level, the most significant effect of the change is to increase the transportation, communication, and utilities category due to the use of actual street and highway rights-of-way as part of the 2000 land use inventory, as opposed to the use of narrower estimated rights-of-way in prior inventories. This treatment of streets and highways generally diminishes the area of adjacent land uses traversed by those streets and highways in the 2000 land use inventory relative to prior inventories.

Source: SEWRPC.

Table H-6

LAND USES IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 2000

Land Use Category ^a	Acres	Percent of Subtotal (Urban or Nonurban)	Percent of Total
Urban			
Residential			
Single-Family ^b	696	48.4	3.0
Commercial.....	24	1.7	0.1
Industrial.....	22	1.5	0.1
Transportation, Communications, and Utilities			
Arterial Street Rights-of-Way.....	251	17.4	1.1
Nonarterial Street Rights-of-Way.....	309	21.5	1.3
Communications, Utilities, and Other			
Transportation ^c	29	2.0	0.1
Subtotal	589	40.9	2.6
Governmental and Institutional ^d	27	1.9	0.1
Recreational ^e	80	5.5	0.3
Urban Subtotal	1,438	100.0	6.2
Nonurban			
Natural Resource Areas			
Woodlands.....	997	4.6	4.3
Wetlands.....	808	3.7	3.5
Surface Water.....	49	0.2	0.2
Subtotal	1,854	8.6	8.1
Agricultural.....	19,023	88.2	82.7
Extractive.....	23	0.1	0.1
Landfills.....	349	1.6	1.5
Open Lands ^f	326	1.5	1.4
Nonurban Subtotal	21,575	100.0	93.8
Total	23,013	-	100.0

^aParking included in associated use.

^bIncludes farm residences and land under development for single-family residential uses. Other farm buildings are included in the agricultural land use category.

^c“Other Transportation” includes bus depots, airports, truck terminals, and transportation facilities not classified as street or railroad rights-of-way.

^dIncludes public and private schools, government offices, police and fire stations, libraries, cemeteries, religious institutions, hospitals, nursing homes, and similar facilities.

^eIncludes only that land which is intensively used for recreational purposes.

^fOpen lands includes lands in rural areas that are not being farmed; land under development, except for single-family residential uses; and other lands that have not been developed including residual lands or outlots attendant to existing urban development that are not expected to be developed.

Source: SEWRPC 2000 Land Use Inventory.

Table H-7

LAND USES IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 2007

Land Use Category ^a	Acres	Percent of Subtotal (Urban or Nonurban)	Percent of Total
Urban			
Residential			
Single-Family ^b	1,047	55.0	4.6
Two-Family	0	0.0	0.0
Multi-Family.....	0	0.0	0.0
Subtotal	1,047	55.0	4.6
Commercial	45	2.4	0.2
Industrial.....	48	2.5	0.2
Transportation, Communications, and Utilities			
Street Rights-of-Way.....	564	29.6	2.5
Railroad Rights-of-Way.....	0	0.0	0.0
Communications, Utilities, and Other			
Transportation ^c	30	1.6	0.1
Subtotal	594	31.2	2.6
Governmental and Institutional ^d	44	2.3	0.2
Recreational ^e	127	6.6	0.5
Urban Subtotal	1,905	100.0	8.3
Nonurban			
Natural Resource Areas			
Woodlands.....	973	4.6	4.2
Nonfarmed Wetlands	1,069	5.1	4.7
Surface Water.....	49	0.2	0.2
Subtotal	2,091	9.9	9.1
Agricultural	18,034	85.7	78.6
Farmed Wetlands	265	1.3	1.1
Extractive.....	0	0.0	0.0
Landfill	361	1.7	1.6
Open Lands ^f	298	1.4	1.3
Nonurban Subtotal	21,049	100.0	91.7
Total	22,954	--	100.0

^aIncludes parking and lands under development in associated use.

^bIncludes mobile homes, land under development for single-family residential uses, and farm residences. Other farm buildings are included in the agricultural land use category.

^c“Other Transportation” includes bus depots, airports, truck terminals, and transportation facilities not classified as street or railroad rights-of-way.

^dIncludes public and private schools, government offices, police and fire stations, libraries, cemeteries, religious institutions, hospitals, nursing homes, and similar facilities.

^eIncludes only lands which are intensively used for recreational purposes.

^fIncludes lands in rural areas that are not being farmed and other lands that have not been developed, including residual lands or outlots attendant to existing urban development that are not expected to be developed.

Source: SEWRPC.

Table H-8

EQUALIZED VALUE BY REAL ESTATE CLASS IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 2003 and 2007

Real Estate Class	Statement of Equalized Values 2003			Statement of Equalized Values 2007			Change in Equalized Value 2003 and 2007	
	Acres	Land	Improvements	Acres	Land	Improvements	Number	Percent
Residential.....	2,062	\$29,716,400	\$68,046,000	2,066	\$46,826,500	\$ 93,172,500	\$42,236,600	43.2
Commercial	786	24,395,400	7,002,600	779	26,395,600	9,452,300	4,449,900	14.2
Manufacturing.....	11	254,800	1,621,500	15	828,500	6,911,900	5,864,100	312.5
Agricultural.....	17,423	4,289,900	N/A	17,292	4,147,800	N/A	-142,100	-3.3
Undeveloped	954	1,098,400	N/A	1,120	638,300	N/A	-460,100	-41.9
Ag Forest ^a	N/A	N/A	N/A	88	408,800	N/A	408,800	--
Forest	160	783,200	N/A	145	1,605,000	N/A	821,800	104.9
Other.....	530	7,232,900	16,574,700	434	11,883,000	21,121,500	9,196,900	38.6
Total	21,926	\$67,771,000	\$93,244,800	21,939	\$92,733,500	\$130,658,200	\$62,375,900	38.7

^aIn 2005, the Department of Revenue added "Ag Forest" as a new Real Estate Class.

Source: Wisconsin Department of Revenue and SEWRPC.

Table H-9

PLANNED LAND USES IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 2035

Land Use Category	Acres	Percent of Subtotal (Urban or Nonurban)	Percent of Total
Urban			
Suburban-Density Residential ^a	313	18.7	1.4
Commercial/Residential Mixed Use.....	22	1.3	0.1
Commercial ^b	33	2.0	0.1
Industrial ^{b,c}	81	4.8	0.4
Commercial//Light Industrial ^d	447	26.6	1.9
Governmental and Institutional.....	96	5.7	0.4
Park and Recreational ^e	72	4.3	0.3
Street and Highway Rights-of-Way ^f	614	36.6	2.7
Urban Subtotal	1,678	100.0	7.3
Nonurban			
Farmland Protection ^g	16,089	75.7	70.1
General Agricultural and Open Lands ^h	1,979	9.3	8.6
Rural-Density Residential ⁱ	242	1.1	1.1
Landfill ^g	382	1.8	1.7
Primary Environmental Corridor ^j	689	3.2	3.0
Secondary Environmental Corridor ^j	1,195	5.6	5.2
Isolated Natural Resource Area ^j	397	1.9	1.7
Nonfarmed Wetlands ^k	252	1.2	1.1
Surface Water.....	48	0.2	0.2
Nonurban Subtotal	21,283	100.0	92.7
Total	22,969	--	100.0
Overlay Category			
100-Year Floodplain.....	1,446	--	--
Farmed Wetlands ^l	261	--	--

Note: The Town of Paris Land Use Plan (Map IX-14) uses land use categories similar to those used for the Countywide land use plan map (Map IX-2).

^aAverage density equating to one home per 40,000 square feet to 4.9 acres.

^bThese categories do not include additional acreage that may be developed for commercial or light industrial uses as part of the "Commercial/Light Industrial" category shown on the map since such acreage is included in the "Commercial/Light Industrial" category in this table.

^cDoes not include additional acreage that may be developed for light industrial uses within the setback areas of the Waste Management Landfill site, which are designated by a symbol on the Town land use plan map.

^dIncludes acreage for potential commercial or light industrial uses denoted on the Town land use plan map as "Commercial/Light Industrial." Although shown on the County land use plan map as "Business or Industrial Park," the Town, in cooperation with the County, may allow the development of individual or multiple properties for commercial or light industrial use in these areas without requiring a unified site plan, consistent signage and landscaping, and other elements associated with business parks.

^eIncludes only land which is intensively used for recreational purposes.

^fReflects year 2008 existing street and highway rights-of-way and proposed rights-of-way for the I-94 corridor, including interchanges, to be constructed in 2009 and 2010. Otherwise, future street rights-of-way are included in the adjacent land use categories.

Table H-9 (continued)

^gThe Town land use plan map recommends the area shown as "Potential Landfill Expansion" to continue for agricultural uses until such time a land fill expansion is approved. Therefore, the acreage for such landfill expansion is included in the farmland protection category.

^hAllows agricultural uses and residential uses with an average density of one home per 10 to 34.9 acres.

ⁱAverage density equating to one home per 5.0 to 9.9 acres.

^jDoes not include associated surface water areas.

^kIncludes those wetlands that are not being farmed and contain wetland vegetation and supporting soils that are located outside environmental corridors and isolated natural resource areas.

^lIncludes those wetlands that do not contain wetland vegetation due to farming (cultivation, pasturing, or other agricultural activities) and are located outside environmental corridors and isolated natural resource areas, in areas not anticipated to be developed for urban use during the planning period. If natural vegetation develops on some of these wetlands with supporting soils when farming ceases, the re-vegetated areas may eventually be reclassified as part of an environmental corridor or isolated natural resource area, or as a nonfarmed wetland.

Source: SEWRPC.

Table H-10
PROJECTED LAND USE NEEDS FOR
THE TOWN OF PARIS IN FIVE-YEAR INCREMENTS: 2000-2035

Land Use Category	Existing Land Uses: 2000		Future Land Uses: 2035		Change 2000-2035		5-Year Increment (Acres)
	Acres	Percent of Town	Acres	Percent of Town	Acres	Percent Change	
Residential	275 ^a	1.2	328 ^b	1.4	53 ^c	19.3 ^c	7.6 ^c
Commercial	24	0.1	264 ^d	1.1	240	1,000.0	34.3
Industrial	22	0.1	238 ^e	1.0	216	981.8	30.9

^aIncludes urban- and suburban-density residential uses with average density equating to one dwelling unit per 4.9 acres or less and lands under development for such residential uses. Excludes farm residences and residential uses with an average density equating to one home per five acres or greater.

^bIncludes areas in the Suburban-Density Residential category and 65 percent of lands in the Commercial/Residential Mixed Use category shown on Map IX-14.

^cEven though only a slight increase in land for urban- or suburban-density residential uses is anticipated, population within the Town would likely continue to grow due to an increase in rural-density residential development and higher density residential development within lands designated for Commercial/Residential Mixed Use on the Town plan.

^dIncludes the areas in the Commercial category, 35 percent of lands in the Commercial/Residential Mixed Use category, and 50 percent of lands in the Commercial/Light Industrial category shown on Map IX-14.

^eIncludes 50 percent of lands in the Commercial/Light Industrial category shown on Map IX-14. Does not include additional acreage that may be developed for light industrial uses within the setback areas of the Waste Management Landfill site which are designated by a symbol on the Town land use plan map.

Source: SEWRPC.

Table H-11
HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 2006

Condition ^a	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family ^b		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^c		Other ^d			
	Number	Percent of Total Housing Units	Number	Percent of Total Housing Units	Number	Percent of Total Housing Units	Number	Percent of Total Housing Units	Number	Percent of Total Housing Units
Excellent	2	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.3
Very Good	7	1.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	1.2
Good	46	7.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.2	47	8.1
Average	430	73.8	10	1.7	0	0.0	7	1.2	447	76.7
Fair	57	9.8	6	1.0	0	0.0	2	0.3	65	11.1
Poor	8	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	1.4
Very Poor	4	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.2	5	0.9
Unsound	2	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.3
Total	556	95.4	16	2.7	0	0.0	11	1.9	583	100.0

NOTE: Data represent conditions for housing units as recorded by the local assessors and may not include all housing units. Mobile homes are not included.

- ^a“Excellent” means building is in perfect condition; very attractive and highly desirable.
- “Very good” means slight evidence of deterioration; still attractive and quite desirable.
- “Good” means minor deterioration visible; slightly less attractive and desirable, but useful.
- “Average” means normal wear and tear is apparent; average attractiveness and desirability.
- “Fair” means marked deterioration but quite usable; rather unattractive and undesirable.
- “Poor” means deterioration is obvious; definitely undesirable and barely usable.
- “Very poor” means condition approaches unsoundness; extremely undesirable and barely usable.
- “Unsound” means building is definitely unsound and practically unfit for use.

^bIncludes condominiums.

^cIncludes units in apartment buildings of three units and larger.

^dIncludes residential units in commercial buildings.

Source: Community assessor and SEWRPC.

Table H-12

HOUSEHOLDS WITH HOUSING PROBLEMS IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 2000^a

Income Level ^b	Owner-Occupied Households												Percent With Problems ^c
	Elderly Family Households		Small Family Households		Large Family Households		Elderly Non-Family Households		Other Non-Family Households		Owner-Occupied Households With Problems		
	Number With Problems	Total Households in Category	Number With Problems	Total Households in Category	Number With Problems	Total Households in Category	Number With Problems	Total Households in Category	Number With Problems	Total Households in Category			
Extremely Low (Below 30 percent)	4	4	--	4	--	4	4	4	4	--	8	1.3	
Very Low (30.1 to 50 percent)	4	14	4	4	4	4	10	20	4	8	26	4.3	
Low (50.1 to 80 percent)	4	19	15	30	4	4	4	8	--	4	27	4.5	
Moderate (80.1 to 95 percent)	--	10	4	14	4	4	4	4	--	4	12	2.0	
Other (above 95 percent)	4	39	25	205	10	35	--	--	4	24	43	7.1	
Total	16	86	48	257	22	47	22	36	8	40	116	19.2	

Income Level ^b	Renter-Occupied Households												Percent With Problems ^c
	Elderly Family Households		Small Family Households		Large Family Households		Elderly Non-Family Households		Other Non-Family Households		Renter-Occupied Households With Problems		
	Number With Problems	Total Households in Category	Number With Problems	Total Households in Category	Number With Problems	Total Households in Category	Number With Problems	Total Households in Category	Number With Problems	Total Households in Category			
Extremely Low (Below 30 percent)	--	--	10	14	--	4	4	4	--	4	14	2.3	
Very Low (30.1 to 50 percent)	--	4	4	8	4	4	4	8	4	4	16	2.6	
Low (50.1 to 80 percent)	--	4	--	4	4	--	--	--	4	4	8	1.3	
Moderate (80.1 to 95 percent)	--	--	--	10	--	4	4	8	--	4	4	0.7	
Other (above 95 percent)	--	--	14	66	--	4	4	8	--	4	4	0.7	
Total	--	8	14	66	8	16	16	28	8	20	46	7.6	

^aHousing problems include households with a housing cost burden (spend more than 30 percent of gross monthly income); housing units without complete plumbing and kitchen facilities; or housing units with more than 1.01 occupants per room.

^bIncome level categories are based on a percentage range of the 1999 median family income.

^cPercent of all households (604).

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Table H-13

HOUSEHOLDS WITH A HOUSING COST BURDEN IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 2000^a

Income Level ^b	Owner-Occupied Households				Renter-Occupied Households				Total Occupied Households				Total Households with a Housing Cost Burden	Total Households	Percent of Households with a Housing Cost Burden
	Cost Burden of 30.1 To 50 Percent		Cost Burden of Over 50 Percent		Cost Burden of 30.1 to 50 Percent		Cost Burden of Over 50 Percent		Cost Burden of 30.1 to 50 Percent		Cost Burden of Over 50 Percent				
	Number	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c			
Extremely Low (Below 30 Percent)	4	0.7	4	0.7	4	0.7	4	0.7	8	1.3	8	1.3	16	34	2.7
Very Low (30.1 to 50 percent)	10	1.6	4	0.7	4	0.7	4	0.7	14	2.3	8	1.3	22	78	3.6
Low (50.1 to 80 Percent)	15	2.5	4	0.7	4	0.7	--	--	19	3.1	4	0.7	23	81	3.8
Moderate (80.1 to 95 percent)	4	0.7	4	0.7	--	--	4	0.7	4	0.7	8	1.3	12	62	2.0
Other (above 95 Percent)	35	5.8	4	0.7	4	0.7	--	--	39	6.5	4	0.7	43	349	7.1
Total	68	11.3	20	3.3	16	2.7	12	2.0	84	13.9	32	5.3	116	604	19.2

^aSpending over 30 percent of gross monthly household income on housing is considered to be a housing cost burden.


^bIncome level categories are based on a percentage range of the 1999 median family income.

^cPercent of total households (604).

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census and SEWRPC.

Figure H-1


LAND USES IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 2000



Source: SEWRPC Land Use Inventory 2000.

Figure H-2


PLANNED LAND USES IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 2035



Source: SEWRPC.

Map H-1

GENERALIZED EXISTING LAND USES IN THE TOWN OF PARIS: 2007



- SINGLE - FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
- COMMERCIAL
- INDUSTRIAL
- COMMUNICATION, UTILITY, AND OTHER TRANSPORTATION
- GOVERNMENTAL AND INSTITUTIONAL
- RECREATIONAL

- LANDFILL
- AGRICULTURAL
- OPEN LANDS
- FARMED WETLAND (2005)
- NONFARMED WETLAND (2005)
- WOODLAND
- SURFACE WATER
- STREET AND HIGHWAY RIGHT-OF WAY

Source: Town of Paris and SEWRPC.

